1	RED Study Committee	
2	Essex High School Library – Essex Junction, VT	
3	June 18, 2015	
4		
5		
6 7	<b>Attendees:</b> Adam Sollace (EJ), Tom James (ET), Heidi Coppola (Westford), Linda Costello (EJ), Marla Durham (EJ), Brendan Kinney (ET), Jill Tarule (ET), Tom Thompson (ET), Bob	
8 9	Bouthillier (EJ), Martha Heath (Westford), Keeley Schell (EJ), Wes McClellan (EJ), Samantha Gilliam (ET), Amy Chess (EJ), Kim Gleason (ET), Cathy Printon (ET – arrived at	
10	7:30 p.m.),	
11		
12		
13	Absent: Kim Kedzierski (ET), Gary Kling (ET), Mitchell Stern (ET), Brian Donahue (EJ)	
14		
15		
16	Facilitator: Brian O'Regan	
17		
18	Others: Mark Andrews (Essex Town Superintendent), Ben Dickie (CCSU – Minutes), Chris	
19	Leopold, Grant Geisler, Vince Gonillo	
20		
21		
22	Brendan Kinney called the meeting to order at 6:34 p.m.	
23		
24	Visitore to be Heard	
25 26	Visitors to be Heard	
27	None	
28	Approval of Minutes from June 9, 2015	
29	Martha Heath motioned to approve the minutes from June 9, 2015, Marla Durham	
30	seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.	
31	seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.	
32		
33	Articles of Agreement	
34	Brian O'Regan and Chris Leopold facilitated a conversation about the <u>potential</u>	
35	Articles of Agreement, with a hope of agreeing on the language in each one that	
36	the committee discussed	
37	<ul> <li>This wouldn't constitute as a final approval of each article, as that will</li> </ul>	
38	take place at the June 30 meeting when all committee members can be	
39	present	
40	<ul> <li>Brian reminded the committee that outside of the first two articles, any</li> </ul>	
41	recommendations that this committee makes can be changed when the	
42	new RED School Board is formed	
43	Article 3:	

44	0	The new RED Board would only have two choices concerning this article
45		<ul> <li>Negotiate new agreements or honor the current ones</li> </ul>
46	0	Both CCSU and ETSD are on a compatible cycle right now and their
47		current deals wouldn't carry on past the first official date that the RED
48		would be formed
49	0	The transition period between the formation of a new RED Board and
50		July 1, 2017 would be the time frame when new contracts would be
51		negotiated
52		<ul> <li>If the new RED Board can't successfully agree on a contract by July</li> </ul>
53		1, 2017, then the previous contracts that each were previously
54		under would continue
55	0	There was a question about where would new hires fit?
56		<ul><li>That would be up for the new board and/or the association</li></ul>
57	0	This is one of the top priorities for the new RED Board once they are
58		formed under state law
59	0	Chris will look to see if there is a need for more explicit language that
60		needs to be added
61	0	The committee approved the article
<b>62</b> •	Article	4:
63	0	There was a lot of discussion around this article and if language was
64		needed that said no school buildings would be closed
65		<ul> <li>Chris said that language concerning not closing any of the current</li> </ul>
66		schools wouldn't fit in this article, but could be added in a
67		separate article
68	0	Chris added that the point of this article is to let the voters know that no
69		new buildings would be required to be built if a RED was formed
70	0	If this committee decides that it wants to form a RED, an article around
71		the idea of not closing a school would have to be included, but if the
72		committee wants to form under Act 46, the provision doesn't need to be
73		included and you wouldn't want it as part because it wouldn't be
74		enforceable
75	0	Chris added that if a RED was formed, then by state statute a school
76		couldn't be closed for at least four years
77	0	The committee agreed that they approved of the current language, but
78		wanted to revisit the school closing topic in another article
<b>79</b> •	Article	
80	0	The committee agreed that they could outline this article more
81		thoroughly in the report/preamble that they put together with a little
82		more background and approved the current language
83 •	Article	
84	0	Each supervisory union in the state currently has this obligation
85	0	Martha Heath was concerned with language when it mentions only
86		'Supervisory Union' even though Essex Town is a school district
87		<ul> <li>Chris said that language could be altered</li> </ul>

88	0	The committee approved this article with the edit
89	<ul> <li>Article</li> </ul>	11:
90	0	Brian mentioned to the committee to not focus on the date for this
91		article, as we can come back to that
92	0	There was a suggestion to add a comma after 'less' in the second to last
93		sentence
94	0	Chris mentioned that if the committee decided on the 'at-large' method
95		for filling new board seats, then the language would need to be slightly
96		modified
97	0	Those running for the new board seats would have to file with a town or
98		village clerk who is currently in place since there won't be a new clerk for
99		the RED at that time
100	0	The committee approved the language in this article if they end up
101		recommending proportional representation
102	<ul><li>Article</li></ul>	13:
103	0	All districts involved currently approve their budgets by Australian Ballot
104	0	Chris mentioned that the committee not have a date listed in this article
105		in case of emergency and the new RED Board did have to go back to the
106		current school boards and ask for money in terms of transitional costs
107	0	This article was approved by the committee as is
108	<ul><li>Article</li></ul>	14:
109	0	Chris said that audits would need to be completed/approved by the
110		former school boards/directors if a RED is formed
111	0	The sooner you end the responsibilities of the old boards, the sooner
112		they can cease to function
113	0	The committee asked Grant Geisler from CCSU if it's feasible to finish
114		audits by December 31?
115		<ul> <li>He thinks it's possible, but the schedule would need to be</li> </ul>
116		bumped up because there are only a limited amount of auditing
117		firms available
118		<ul> <li>CCSU's audits are usually finalized in January currently</li> </ul>
119	0	If Westford is advisable, then the language wouldn't need to be changed
120		in case they voted against joining the RED
121	0	The committee approved the article with the qualifiers
122	<ul><li>Article</li></ul>	
123	0	The date in the article needs to be changed from 2015 to 2017
124	0	Chris said that this article is present because it's required under the RED
125		language, also over the last 10 years this has frequently been one of the
126		concerns that communities have had
127	0	The committee approved the article
128	<ul> <li>Article</li> </ul>	
129	0	Chris mentioned that if the committee plans on forming a RED, the
130		information in this article needs to be addressed

_		
132		year things won't change
133		<ul> <li>The article should read after the 'first year of operations' and that date</li> </ul>
134		would be July 1, 2018
135		o There were discussions around common sense exceptions, and the board
136		would still have the ability to effect these
137		<ul> <li>The committee looked at flexibility vs. stability</li> </ul>
138		<ul> <li>Chris said he can add language centered towards giving the board</li> </ul>
139		authority, based upon the individual needs of a student, to assign a
140		student to a different school
141		<ul><li>But he also warned the committee that they wouldn't want to</li></ul>
142		open school choice out of the gate
143		<ul> <li>The committee agreed to the language with the clarification of July 1,</li> </ul>
144		2018
145		<ul> <li>The committee will come back to this article as further conversation is</li> </ul>
146		needed, as well as input from the current administrators
147	•	Article 12:
148		<ul> <li>Brian told the committee to ignore the current bolded language</li> </ul>
149		<ul> <li>The more time the new board has to address the factors in this article,</li> </ul>
150		the better
151		<ul> <li>There was a question of if CCSU needed to be mentioned along with each</li> </ul>
152		of the other districts?
153		<ul><li>Chris will look into that further to see if it's appropriate</li></ul>
154		<ul> <li>The committee agreed to the language in the article with that qualifier</li> </ul>
155	•	Article 2:
156		<ul> <li>Once the new board is formed, it would have to look at opportunities for</li> </ul>
157		PreK students and how services are offered across the board
158		<ul> <li>The committee approved the article</li> </ul>
159		
160		
161	Tax Ra	ate Modeling
162	•	Grant Geisler explained a set of graphs that he put together, looking at tax rate
163		modeling
164	•	He explained the graphs, as he took a look back at the actual tax rates from
165		FY'12-FY'16 for Westford, Essex Junction, and Essex Town, as well as what it
166		would look like if the three had merged with no incentives, and if the three had
167		merged with the potential tax rate incentives
168	•	Grant also looked at an income sensitivity model that he created
169	•	In his assumptions around transportation costs, Grant wanted to point out that
170		they would be contracting out bus services, not buying buses

• Grant will look at capital plans at next meeting more in depth

 $\circ\quad$  It gives families/guardians some stability to know that at least for one

131

171

172173

174	Communications Update
175	<ul> <li>Ben Dickie went through survey results and comments received as part of it with</li> </ul>
176	the committee
177	<ul> <li>The committee talked about writing weekly press releases to let the community</li> </ul>
178	know what this committee is working on
179	<ul> <li>Could potentially approach the Essex Reporter about some committee members</li> </ul>
180	writing perspective pieces
181	<ul> <li>These should come from an internal person on the committee who this affects</li> </ul>
182	
183	
184	
185	Next Meeting Date and Topic
186	Thursday, June 25, 6:30 p.m.
187	<ul> <li>Continue looking at Articles of Agreement</li> </ul>
188	<ul><li>And what hasn't been addressed in the articles</li></ul>
189	<ul> <li>Review finance around assets, liabilities, maintenance plans and fund</li> </ul>
190	reserves
191	
192	
193	The meeting adjourned at 9:14 p.m.
194	
195	
196	Respectfully submitted by Ben Dickie